

China's Strategy for Global Hegemony and Its Relation with the Great Reset

China's growth in the global scene and its growing rise is of great importance to not just China but also the rest of the world. With global elites at the World Economic Forum pushing a global governance agenda rooted in technologies, principles and practices currently widespread in China, the country's developments, its affairs and current political practices come under scrutiny in this study to truly determine what role the world's second largest economy could have in a highly transformative globalist agenda. The study is divided into three sections to address this.

China's modern communist political origins in the 20th century under Mao lead to the instillation of the importance of communism into the public. However, due to the collapse of the agricultural system based on those principles which caused the loss of millions of lives, Deng re-architected the political system to become a hybrid of capital-communist society which opened its gates for global investment. In the 21st century, China surpassed Japan to become the second largest economy in the world. Chinese military prowess also became a force to reckon with on the global stage. This created mass hysteria in the west with speculations suggesting China's ambitions to become global hegemony. This section analyses the possibility for this concern and goes in depth by addressing concerns such as Hegemonic Stability Theory, rise of China paralleled with US decline, deeply rooted Chinese cultural and monarchical belief of Chinese centrality and Chinese diplomatic and international relations. Contrarily, to establish hegemony will and ability are the most important factors and China seems to lack the will based on testimony from some of its leaders. They also have certain disadvantages that don't make it trivial for them to become hegemony such as un-favoring geography, internal conflicts, naval restrictions, and their dependence on US economy. Combining these factors makes it seem that China does not want a single source of global hegemony leader, and would rather have it be dispersed among several countries in the world, so that no one country can impose its way of living to another.

With the intensifying international situation and the emerging discussion on the concept of the Great reset, news and discussions around the economic and political conflicts between the giant entities such as China and the United States of America or the general Western society have been over all mainstream media channels. While the "society against society" phenomenon is a significant issue around the globe due to variations of core values and interests, mainstream media information delivery systems within different countries also contribute to directional thinking of the general public towards the political interests of their own origins. It is not proper to judge a whole country based on people's own values developed in their own culture. In a globalized society, there are a lot of misunderstandings towards the Chinese government from western society and vice versa. This section will provide an introduction of the Chinese government from a historical point of view to help understand its political structure and governing strategy. For the approach of this section, while information sources may still be biased, any opinion-based information will be carefully stated, such as the "liberation war" during the civil war period in China was named by the Chinese Communist Party. The findings include the formation of the Chinese Communist Party, the People's Republic of China, and its political structure. In addition, there will be discussions on governing strategies enforcing their political agenda, as well as the various viewpoints from both Chinese and Western Society. The conclusion made was that mainstream media channels in any country deliver information towards the interests of their own politics. People need a holistic mind of thinking to see beyond the information provided to them and make reasonable judgment before stating another society is good or bad. This section will contribute to the discussion on the Great Reset.

The Great Reset is a passionate initiative of the World Economic Forum (WEF). The WEF is a Non-Government Organization (NGO) headed by Klaus Schwab along with his board of trustees who are globally influential players representing industry, civil society and the political sphere, funded by over 1,000 member companies who are leaders in their industry/region with a turnover of more than billion dollars and supported by multiple present and former heads of state across all seven continents. They propose replacing the existing multilateral shareholder capitalist form of governance with a multi-stakeholder capitalist form of global governance to effectively cope with today's economic and social challenges and to create a socially inclusive sustainable and resilient future. This section of the study critically evaluates the proposals and concepts behind the great reset to determine areas of conflict as well as the role China has to play, given that they are boldly claimed by the forum to be key partners of the great reset. Based on evaluation of the fundamentals, the study attempts to determine the impacts of the forum's envisioned future on society and attempts to foresee China's course of action as this transition propagates. By reviewing and contrasting mainstream media sources and alternate media narratives, it is clear that the Great Reset is a danger to global democracy as the forum's progression on the issue is void of public input or opinion and the multi-stakeholder model for global governance does not have a structured role of autonomous accountability and regulation within these corporate forces. The fundamental goals of the agenda appear to have the right mindset for the future. However, its encapsulating ideologies for each of these goals suggests the corporate takeover of private life, the creation of the all-seeing state, the development of an equally poor society and the abolishment of human rights and freedoms which are seen as foreseeable threats in a cyber-physical future of corporate governance where equality of outcome and need for a sustainable future is prioritized upon society than corporations themselves. By assessing China's global leadership across several technological fronts such as artificial intelligence, internet of things, blockchain technologies, mass surveillance, digital transformation, smart cities and precision medicine, it is evident that the country is seen as a technological partner to the WEF. However, a fundamental understanding of China's founding principles and those set out by the Chinese Communist Party makes it clear that the country's leaders are not in accordance with the ideologies of the Great Reset on their soil as they believe in the multipolar model of global governance and peaceful co-existence without breaching sovereignty or state integrity. Ongoing developments indicate that China is using its strong alliance with Russia to facilitate an alternative to the WEF's global governance model with several Asian, Eurasian, Middle Eastern and African countries showing support. It is therefore evident that the Great Reset will not be a global takeover and will likely split the world in two governance halves. In summary, the Great Reset is an attempt by globalist elites to facilitate the corporate capture of governance and private life to control the narratives of sustainability, equality, global resiliency and achieve it through more surveillance, more governance and more sacrifices from society. In opposition to this, nationalist leadership from China and Russia will facilitate the Great Resistance by forming its own multipolar governance system with its allies and flourish within self-determined principles. However, for those in countries that are accommodative of the Great Reset, we recommend a societal transformation to facilitate a united opposition against this ongoing transition. By dispelling mainstream media narratives, supporting non-corporate, alternative technological sources and engaging in appropriate discourse with communities based on facts, conditions and circumstances, we believe an emboldened and awakened society can be formed which can lead to an uprising that regulates or even prevents the dystopian nature of the Great Reset from taking over society.